- 1				
1	Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064) Andrew M. Purdy (State Bar No. 261912)			
2	JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC.			
3	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625 San Francisco, California 94111			
4	Telephone: (415) 500-6800 Facsimile: (415) 395-9940			
5	jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com apurdy@saverilawfirm.com			
6	jdallal@saverilawfirm.com			
7	Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs Chip-Tech, Ltd. and Dependable Component Supply Corp.			
8		Γ'		
9	[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]			
10	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT		
11				
12		L 10 N and agg/4 ID		
13	CHIP-TECH, LTD.,	Lead Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD		
14 15	Plaintiff, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO		
16	v.	CIVIL L.R. 3-12 AND 7-11		
	PANASONIC CORPORATION., et al.,			
17	Defendants.			
18 19	DEPENDABLE COMPONENT SUPPLY CORP.,	Case No. 3:14-cv-03300-JD		
20	Plaintiff, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,			
21	· ,			
22	v. PANASONIC CORPORATION., et al.,			
23	Defendants.			
24	Detenuants.			
25				
26	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:			
27	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11, Plaintiffs Chip-Tech,			
28	Ltd. and Dependable Component Supply Corp. ("Pla	intiffs") submit this administrative motion		

1 Lead Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD
Administrative Motion To Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related

1 | r 2 | 0 3 | r 4 | 3 5 | *H* 6 | a 7 | '

9 10

8

121314

11

15 16

18 19

17

2021

2223

24

2526

2728

requesting the Court to consider whether *Ellis et al. v. Panasonic Corporation et al.*, Case No. 3:14-cv-03815-MEJ (the "*Ellis* Action"), filed in this District on August 21, 2014, should be related to three previously-filed and currently pending actions—*Chip-Tech, Ltd. v. Panasonic Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD, filed July 18, 2014 (the "*Chip-Tech* Action"); *Dependable Component Supply Corp. v. Panasonic Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 3:14-cv-03300-JD, filed July 22, 2014 (the "*Dependable* Action"); and *Schuten Electronics Corp. v. AVX Corporation*, Case No. 3:14-cv-03698-JD, filed August 14, 2014 (the "*Schuten* Action").

On August 14, 2014, this Court consolidated the *Chip-Tech* Action and the *Dependable* Action for pretrial purposes (Order re Consolidation and Responses to Complaint, Dkt. 65) (together, the "Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust Actions").

Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) provides that actions are related when (1) the actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different judges.

The Ellis Action should be related to the Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust Actions because these actions together readily meet the criteria for relation set forth above. The Ellis Action alleges facts and asserts claims involving cartel activity and price-fixing that closely track and often repeat verbatim the Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust Actions' respective allegations. For example, the following chart shows sections of the Ellis Complaint that recite language and figures identical to the language and figures pled in the Chip-Tech Complaint:

Ellis Complaint	Chip-Tech Complaint
¶¶ 1-20	¶¶ 1-20
¶¶ 47-87	¶¶ 22-62
¶¶90-93	¶¶ 63-66
¶¶ 94-96	¶¶78-80
¶¶ 97-225	¶¶ 81-209

Following the Plaintiffs' direction in the *Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust* Actions, the *Ellis* Plaintiffs filed suit to seek recovery on behalf of indirect purchasers of aluminum and tantalum electrolytic capacitors for overcharges paid as a result of certain capacitor manufacturers' violations of the federal antitrust laws during a period running from January 1, 2005 to date. Notably, the class period and products at issue in the *Ellis* complaint are the exact same as those at issue in the *Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust* Actions. Similarly, the *Ellis* complaint seeks damages and injunctive relief against the same 28 defendants named in the *Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust* Actions.

The identity of the allegations in the *Ellis* complaint to the allegations and claims in the *Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust* Actions makes it clear that these cases will require adjudication of many of the same questions of law and fact. Relation of the *Ellis* Action to the *Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust* Actions therefore will promote the conservation of judicial and party resources in these cases and will ensure efficiency in their prosecution and final disposition.

Managing the *Ellis* Action separately from the *Consolidated Capacitor Antitrust* Actions would create an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expenses and could potentially lead to conflicting results.

Relation of actions remains entirely at the Court's discretion. Plaintiffs nonetheless note that on August 7, 2014, they filed a Motion to Transfer with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for centralization of all pending capacitors price-fixing cartel in this District and with Your Honor pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1407.

Though the Judicial Panel has yet to hear from the various parties involved in the five currently pending capacitors price-fixing cartel cases (four filed in this District, and one filed in the District of New Jersey) and no order has been issued on the Motion to Transfer, centralization of these cases for pretrial purposes is likely. Accordingly, if these cases ultimately are transferred for centralization, it is highly probable that the *Ellis* Action will be consolidated with the *Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust* Actions. Given the likelihood of this outcome, efficient use of judicial resources and minimizing the potential for conflicting results require that the *Ellis* Action and the *Consolidated Capacitor Antitrust* Actions be considered together.

Case3:14-cv-03264-JD Document83 Filed08/26/14 Page4 of 8

1	In consideration of the foregoing, Plaintiffs Chip-Tech, Ltd. and Dependable Component Supply		
2	Corp. respectfully request that the Court relate the Ellis Action to the Consolidated Capacitors Antitrust		
3	Actions.		
4	Dated: August 26, 2014	JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC.	
5		By: /s/ Joseph R. Saveri Joseph R. Saveri	
6		Joseph R. Saveri	
7		Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064) Andrew M. Purdy (State Bar No. 261912) James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)	
8		505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625 San Francisco, California 94111	
9 10		Telephone: (415) 500-6800 Facsimile: (415) 395-9940	
11		jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com apurdy@saverilawfirm.com	
12		jdallal@saverilawfirm.com	
13		Solomon B. Cera (State Bar No. 99467) C. Andrew Dirksen (State Bar No. 197378)	
14		GOLD BENNETT CERA & SIDENER LLP 595 Market Street, Suite 2300	
15		San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 777-2230	
16		Facsimile: (415) 777-5189 scera@gbcslaw.com	
17		cdirksen@gbcslaw.com	
18		Eric L. Cramer Ruthanne Gordon	
19		BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C. 1622 Locust Street Philadelia PA 10102	
20		Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 875-3000 Facsimile: (215) 875-4604	
21		ecramer@bm.net rgordon@bm.net	
22		Vincent J. Esades	
23		HEINS MILLS & OLSON, P.L.C. 310 Clifton Avenue	
24		Minneapolis, MN 55403 Telephone: (612) 338-4605	
25		Facsimile: (612) 338-4692 vesades@heinsmills.com	
26			
27			
28			
- 1			

Case3:14-cv-03264-JD Document83 Filed08/26/14 Page5 of 8

1	Steven J. Greenfogel
2	1521 Locust Street, 7 th Floor
3	Steven J. Greenfogel LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG, LLC 1521 Locust Street, 7 th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Telephone: (267) 519-8306 Facsimile: (215) 569-0958
4	sgreentogei@litedepaima.com
5	Joseph J. DePalma Two Gateway Center, 12 th Floor Newark, NJ 07102
6	Newark, NJ 07102 Telephone: (973) 623-3000
7	Telephone: (973) 623-3000 Facsimile: (973) 623-0211 jdepalma@litedepalma.com
8	Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs
9	Chip-Tech, Ltd. and Dependable Component Supply Corp.
10	Gorp.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	

1

2

4

3

6

7

5

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over 18 years of age and am not a party to these proceedings or any of the actions that are the subject of these proceedings. My business address is 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625, San Francisco, CA 94111.

I am readily familiar with Joseph Saveri Law Firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and that practice is that the documents are deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage fully prepaid the same day as the day of collection in the ordinary course of business.

I am also readily familiar with Joseph Saveri Law Firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for service via e-mail, and that practice is that the documents are attached to an email and sent to the recipient's email account the same day as the date listed on the Certificate of Service.

This certificate of service concerns the following document(s):

PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 AND 7-11

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12(b) and 5-1(h)(2), on Tuesday, August 26, 2014, I served a true and correct copy of the document(s) via the Electronic Court Filings (ECF) system maintained by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and caused to be served on the following parties via the U.S. Postal Service:

Daniel S. Robinson ROBINSON CALCAGNIE ROBINSON SHAPIRO DAVIS, INC. 19 Corporate Plaza Drive Newport Beach, California 92660 (949) 720-1288 (Telephone)

Attorneys for Ellis Action Consumer Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

(949) 720-1292 (Facsimile)

Phillip Duncan Richard Quintus Iustin Zachary

DUNCAN FIRM, P.A.

900 South Shackleford, Suite 725 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 (701) 228-7600 (Telephone) (701) 228-0415 (Facsimile)

phillip@duncanfirm.com

Attorneys for Ellis Action Consumer Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

1 2	Kent W. Emison LANGDON & EMISON	Richard Lombardo SHAFFER LOMBARDO SHURIN
3	1828 Swift, Suite 303 N Kansas City, Missouri 64116 (660) 259-6175 (Telephone)	911 Main Street, Suite 2000 Kansas City, Missouri 64105 (816) 931-0500 (Telephone)
4	(660) 259-4571 (Facsimile) kent@lelaw.com	(816) 931-5775 (Facsimile) RLombardo@sls-law.com
5	Attorneys for Ellis Action Consumer Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Ellis Action Consumer Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs
7	William W. Heaton HEATON & MOORE, P.C.	Mr. Russell Edwards, President Nichicon (America) Corporation
8	44 North Second Street, Suite 1200 Memphis, Tennessee 38103	927 East State Parkway Schaumberg, IL 60173
9 10	(901) 526-5975 (Telephone) (901 527-3633 (Facsimile) wheaton@heatonandmoore.com	For Nichicon (America) Corporation and as General Manager for Nichicon Corporation
11	Attorneys for Ellis Action Indirect Purchaser Business Plaintiffs	
12 13	Mr. Tsuneo Ohta, President United Chemi-Con Corporation c/o CT Corp. System	Mr. Satoshi Sawamura, President and Director ROHM Semiconductor U.S.A., LLC c/o CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service
14	818 West 7th Street, 2nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017	2710 N. Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150 Sacramento, CA 95833
15 16	General Manager for Nippon Chemi-Con Corporation	General Manager for ROHM Co., Ltd.
17	Mr. Shya Miyazawa, President and CEO Taiyo Yuden (U.S.A.) Inc.	
18	c/o Joji Kagei 19191 S. Vermont Ave., Ste. 420	
19	Torrance, CA 90502	
20	General Manager for Taiyo Yuden Co., Ltd.	

Case3:14-cv-03264-JD Document83 Filed08/26/14 Page8 of 8

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Matthew Ruan COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL, PLLC 88 Pine Street 14th Floor New York, NY 10005 Tel.: (212) 838-7797 gfarah@cohenmilstein.com mruan@cohenmilstein.com Manual John Dominguez COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC 2925 PGA Boulevard, Suite 200 Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410 Tel: (561) 833-6575 ideminguez@cohenmilstein.com Rit A. Pierson Brent W. Johnson Laura Alexander COHEN MILSTEIN PLLC 1100 New York Ave. 1100 New York	otein.com stein.com ilstein.com	
11	Plaintiffs Chip-Tech, Ltd. and Dependable Component Supply Component Suppl	Corp. have continued efforts to	
12	identify counsel or an agent competent to accept service on behalf of Defendant Toshin Kogyo, Ltd., located at 2-15-4, Uchikada Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan; or for Defendant Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd., located at 3-5-3 Sennari-cho, Toyonaka-shi, Osaka 561-8558, Japan. Plaintiffs have retained the services of a translator to assist with service under the Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and		
13			
14			
15			
16	Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters (the Hague Convention).		
17 18			
19	Date: August 26, 2014 /s/ James I		
20	James Dar	aı	
21			
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28	28		

8 Lead Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD
Administrative Motion To Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related